

# Who is working on cost?

Straddling the river Aare in the canton of [Aargau](#), the [Paul Scherrer Institute](#) is Switzerland's largest research institute.



In the [Nuclear Energy and Safety](#) Research division of this national centre of excellence, 220 people work on:

- Safety, waste management & decommissioning
- Advanced and innovative concepts, new technologies
- Education

Switzerland joined the [Generation IV international forum](#)'s molten salt reactor project in 2015 and PSI has become an active member of the European [SAMOFAR](#) research project, focused on the intrinsic safety advantages of the Molten Salt Fast Reactor (MSFR).

So it was a natural choice for PSI to host a workshop on 24<sup>th</sup> January 2017 on the GIF's work on molten salt reactors.



About a hundred people from 18 countries attended the conference, which included presentations by contributors from China, the United States, Australia, Russia, France, Switzerland and the European Union.



Here is a summary of some of the key points from the workshop:

- The United States has joined the GIF molten salt reactor project following the signature of a memorandum of understanding on 5th January 2017
- China has completed the detailed design for a 10MW solid fueled, molten salt cooled reactor. The detailed design for a liquid fueled reactor is underway.
- In China, a team of 600 people are working full time on the TMSR program, with an additional 200 graduate students.
- Studies of a prototype version of the MSFR reactor have led to a proposal for a « small modular reactor » version of this technology.

### Small Modular Reactor - MSFR

Thermal power	100 MWth to 300 MWth
Mean fuel salt temperature	675 °C
Fuel salt temperature rise in the core	30 °C
Fuel Molten salt initial composition	75% LiF (Heavy Nuclei)F <sub>2</sub> – in Th/U or U/Pu fuel cycle
Core dimensions	Int. Diameter –1.3 m Ext. Diameter –2.3 m
Fuel Salt Volume	2 m <sup>3</sup> 1.1 in core 0.9 in external circuits
Total fuel salt cycle in the fuel circuit	3.5 s

May be operated 30 years with the same salt and only salt control + bubbling but no chemical processing (stable physico-chemical characteristics of the salt)

Molten Salt Reactor Workshop – PSI – January 2017 37 France - MSFR Presentation

- The United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission ([NRC](#)) has published roadmap documents for the licensing of non-light water reactors. Over the next 5 years they will work on molten salt reactors, to develop a capability to provide operating licenses to companies developing the technology.
- [ML16356A670](#) NRC Vision and Strategy: Safely Achieving Effective and Efficient Non-Light Water Reactor Mission Readiness
- [ML16294A181](#) NRC Non-Light Water Reactor (Non-LWR) Vision and Strategy - Staff Report: Near-Term Implementation Action Plans - Volume 1 – Executive Information
- [ML16334A495](#) NRC Non-Light Water Reactor (Non-LWR) Vision and Strategy - Staff Report: Near-Term Implementation Action Plans - Volume 2 – Detailed Information

- Terrestrial Energy USA [has informed the NRC](#) of its intention to request an operating license by October 2019 at the latest.
- A new start-up, [Kairos Power](#), has been created in California to bring solid fueled, molten salt cooled reactor technology to market.
- From 2nd to 4th July 2017 the SAMOFAR program will organize a workshop at [Politecnico di Milano](#)'s Como campus, within the framework of their work package 6 on education.

Following the presentation by Jérôme Serp, Engineer at France's CEA and leader of the GIF molten salt reactor project a question was asked:

**"In the generation IV molten salt reactor project, who is working on cost?"**

This question seemed to bother the members of the project somewhat. The reply was that within the GIF framework, people are working on [costing methodologies](#), but that no-one is working on the specific cost of the different molten salt reactor solutions. This is in contrast with the various start-up [companies](#), who are working on technology **and** cost.

This is curious, because two of the eight [generation IV goals](#) are related to economics:

*"Economics-1: Generation IV nuclear energy systems will have a clear life-cycle cost advantage over other energy sources."*

*"Economics-2: Generation IV nuclear energy systems will have a level of financial risk comparable to other energy projects."*

Research is a process which transforms money into ideas, and development is a process which transforms ideas back into money.



In a nuclear reactor, the chain reaction stops if the splitting of atoms doesn't produce enough neutrons. In a research and development process, it's the number of Euros in the system which counts. To do more research, it's essential to show developers that the ideas generated have a chance of allowing a cost competitive technology to be brought to market. To get to an "R&D critical mass", we need costings.

We know that the intrinsic safety advantages of molten salt reactors can produce large gains in the cost of building a power station, and in the cost of the energy produced. But how much? In the generation IV international forum's molten salt reactor project, it's time to put **economics before neutronics**.